

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,434		03/03/2004	Charles T. Hatch	146392	2433	
23413	7590	03/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CANTOR		,	DESTA, ELIAS			
55 GRIFFIN BLOOMFIE				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2857		
				DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/708,434	HATCH, CHARLES T.	( M)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Elias Desta	2857		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirged apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	nuary 2006.			
<u> </u>	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		osecution as to the merits is	5	
closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	un from consideration			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
			•	
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No		
Copies of the certified copies of the priori     application from the International Bureau	ity documents have been receive			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	•	
	•			
Attachment(s)	. 🗖	(270, 440)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)  3) ∑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/2005.		Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 10/708,434

Art Unit: 2857

### Detailed Action

## **Objections/Reminder**

#### Abstract

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following minor informality:

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2857

## Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Explanation of Rejection

## Claim rejection - 35 U.S.C. 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. <u>In reference to claims 1-14</u>: the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. There does not appear to be practical application, i.e., no useful, concrete tangible result, because there are no physical signals applied to real world device in the claims.

A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result; i.e., the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. See AT &T, 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2d at 1452. Likewise, a machine claim is statutory when the machine, as claimed, produces a concrete,

Application/Control Number: 10/708,434

Art Unit: 2857

tangible and useful result (as in State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601) and/or when a specific machine is being claimed (as in Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557 (in banc).

For example, a computer process that simply calculates a mathematical algorithm that models noise is nonstatutory.

However, a claimed process for digitally filtering noise employing the mathematical algorithm is statutory.

The claims constitute a model where the input to the model is a series of force waveforms applied to the device. These waveforms are compared with values that are generated with a well-behaved function to produce a desired frequency values. The steps noted in the claims do not produce a tangible or useful result where the outcome can be utilized for real, concrete and tangible application. The input applied to the device and the output obtained from the system simply characterizes a model that correlates a force vector with a corresponding frequency waveform. "Solving a dynamic model of the device utilizing a subset of the fist plurality of spectral amplitude values ... to output a desired output waveform associated with the device" further refines the characterization of the model.

The claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The claims constitute a model with no practical application, i.e., no

Art Unit: 2857

useful, concrete and tangible result, because no physical signal applied to real world device in the claims.

#### Response to Argument

5. Applicant's arguments filed on January 9, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As noted above, the claims constitute a model where the input to the model is a series of force waveforms applied to the device. These waveforms are compared with values that are generated with a well-behaved function to produce a desired frequency values. The steps noted in the claims do not produce a tangible or useful result where the outcome can be utilized for real, concrete and tangible application. The input applied to the device and the output obtained from the system simply characterizes a model that correlates a force vector with a corresponding frequency waveform. "Solving a dynamic model of the device utilizing a subset of the first plurality of spectral amplitude values ... to output a desired output waveform associated with the device" further refines the characterization of the model. The claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The claims constitute a model with no practical application, i.e., no useful, concrete and tangible result, because no physical signal applied to real world device in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,434

Art Unit: 2857

Further, examiner notes that Applicant has not responded to the objections raised by the Examiner in the first office action. Appropriate response is required.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elias Desta whose telephone number is (571)-272-2214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30-7:00).

Art Unit: 2857

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571)-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elias Desta Examiner Art Unit 2857

- e.d.

March 15, 2006

HAL WACHSMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINES

HUB-